

Korespondensi International Journal of Data and Network Science

Paper ID 3467 - Investigating The Role of digital leadership and digital transformation on the public sector Organization Performance

Eksternal

Kotak Masuk

Telusuri semua pesan berlabel Kotak Masuk
Hapus label Kotak Masuk dari percakapan ini



IJDNS Editor <ijdnseditorial@gmail.com>

Sel, 6
Jun,
10.27

kepada saya, riban.satia, r.biroum.bernardianto, nurhasanah, irwani, paulus.setyoko

Thank you for your submission to IJDNS Journal.

Below is a copy of the information submitted for your records.

Title :Investigating The Role of digital leadership and digital transformation on the public sector Organization Performance

Keywords : digital leadership , digital transformation , the public sector, Organization, Performance

Editorial Board

International Journal of Data and Network Science

<https://growingscience.com/ijds/ijds.html>

<https://www.scimagojr.com/journalsearch.php?q=21100970247&tip=sid&exact=no>



Dr. H. Muhamad Yusuf, S.Sos., M.A.P <m.yusuf@umpr.ac.id>

Sel, 6
Jun,
10.40

kepada IJDNS

Thank you for your information..
best regards
M.Yusuf



IJDNS Editor <ijdneditorial@gmail.com>

Sel, 6
Jun,
11.15

kepada saya, riban.satia, r.biroum.bernardianto, nurhasanah, irwani, paulus.setyoko

Dear Authors,

Please revise your paper according editorial board review below:

Abstract

- Sometimes written as an afterthought, the abstract is of extreme importance as in many instances this section is what is initially previewed by readership to determine if the remainder of the article is worth reading. This is the authors opportunity to draw the reader into the study and entice them to read the rest of the article.
- The abstract is a summary of the article or study written in 3rd person allowing the readers to get a quick glance of what the contents of the article include.
- Writing an abstract is rather challenging as being brief, accurate and concise are requisite.
- The headings and structure for an abstract are usually provided in the instructions for authors.
- In some instances, the abstract may change slightly pending content revisions required during the peer review process.
- Therefore it often works well to complete this portion of the manuscript last. Remember the abstract should be able to stand alone and should be as succinct as possible.

Introduction and Review of Literature

- The introduction is one of the more difficult portions of the manuscript to write. Past studies are

used to set the stage or provide the reader with information regarding the necessity of the represented project.

For an introduction to work properly, the reader must feel that the research question is clear, concise, and worthy of study.

- A competent introduction should include at least four key concepts: 1) significance of the topic, 2) the information gap in the available literature associated with the topic, 3) a literature review in support of the key questions, 4) subsequently developed purposes/objectives and hypotheses.

Methods

- The methods section should clearly describe the specific design of the study and provide clear

and concise description of the procedures that were performed.

The purpose of sufficient detail in the methods section is so that an appropriately trained person would be able to replicate your experiments.

- There should be complete transparency when describing the study

- A clear methods section should contain the following information: 1) the population and equipment used in the study, 2) how the population and equipment were prepared and what was done during the study, 3) the protocol used, 4) the outcomes and how they were measured, 5) the methods used for data analysis. Initially a brief paragraph should explain the overall procedures and study design.

- Within this first paragraph there is generally a description of inclusion and exclusion criteria which help the reader understand the population used.

Paragraphs that follow should describe in more detail the procedures followed for the study. A clear description of how data was gathered is also helpful.

- For example were data gathered prospectively or retrospectively? Who if anyone was blinded,

and where and when was the actual data collected?

- Although it is a good idea for the authors to have justification and a rationale for their procedures, these should be saved for inclusion into the discussion section, not to be discussed in the methods section.

- However, occasionally studies supporting components of the methods section such as reliability of tests, or validation of outcome measures may be included in the methods section.

- The final portion of the methods section will include the statistical methods used to analyze the

data.¹⁹ This does not mean that the actual results should be discussed in the methods section, as they have an entire section of their own!

- Most scientific journals support the need for all projects involving humans or animals to have

up-to-date documentation of ethical approval.²⁰ The methods section should include a clear

statement that the researchers have obtained approval from an appropriate institutional review board.

Results and Discussion

- In most journals the results section is separate from the discussion section.
- It is important that you clearly distinguish your results from your discussion.
- The results section should describe the results only. The discussion section should put those results into a broader context.
- Report your results neutrally, as you “found them”. Again, be thoughtful about content and structure.
- Think carefully about where content is placed in the overall structure of your paper. It is not appropriate to bring up additional results, not discussed in the results section, in the discussion.
- All results must first be described/presented and then discussed. Thus, the discussion should not simply be a repeat of the results section. Carefully discuss where your information is similar or different from other published evidence and why this might be so. What was different in methods or analysis, what was similar?

• Do not discuss extraneous ideas, concepts, or information not covered by your topic/paper/commentary. Be sure to carefully address all relevant results, not just the statistically significant ones or the ones that support your hypotheses.

When you must resort to speculation or opinion, be certain to state that up front using phrases such as “we therefore speculate” or “in the authors’ opinion”.

- Remember, just as in the introduction and literature review, evidence or results cannot draw conclusions, just as previously stated, only people, scientists, researchers, and authors can!
- Finish with a concise, 3-5 sentence conclusion paragraph.
- This is not just a restatement of your results, rather is comprised of some final, summative statements that reflect the flow and outcomes of the entire paper. Do not include speculative statements or additional material; however, based upon your findings a statement about potential changes in clinical practice or future research opportunities can be provided here.
- Your results should be organised into an orderly and logical sequence. Only the most relevant results should be described in the text, to highlight the most important points. Figures, tables, and equations should be used for purposes of clarity and brevity. Data should not be reproduced in more than one form, for example in both figures and tables, without good reason.

- The purpose of the discussion is to explain the meaning of your results and why they are important.
- You should state the impact of your results compared with recent work and relate it back to the problem or question you posed in your introduction. Ensure claims are backed up by evidence and explain any complex arguments.

CONCLUSIONS

- Writing for publication can be a challenging yet satisfying endeavor.
- The ability to examine, relate, and interlink evidence, as well as to provide a peer-reviewed, disseminated product of your research labors can be rewarding.
- A few suggestions have been offered in this commentary that may assist the novice or the developing writer to attempt, polish, and perfect their approach to scholarly writing.
- This is for interpretation of the key results and to highlight the novelty and significance of the work. The conclusions should not summarise information already present in the article or abstract. You can also include any plans for relevant future work here.

References

- Use APA style
- Use recent at least 5 years later references
- Use 20 references minimum

Editorial Board

International Journal of Data and Network Science

<https://growingscience.com/ijds/ijds.html>

<https://www.scimagojr.com/journalsearch.php?q=21100970247&tip=sid&exact=no>



Dr. H. Muhamad Yusuf, S.Sos., M.A.P <m.yusuf@umpr.ac.id>

Jun, 8 18.40

kepada IJDNS

Thank you for your information.

best regards

M.Yusuf



IJDNS Editor <ijdnseditorial@gmail.com>

Sel, 13
Jun,
04.02

kepada saya, riban.satia, r.biroum.bernardianto, nurhasanah, irwani, paulus.setyoko

Dear Authors

Please revised your paper according reviers comments below:

the authors should describe how the data was collected, who was included in the sample, and any instruments used the authors should identify gaps in the existing literature and tell the reader how the

current study will fill that gap. The authors will also state their hypothesis (purpose) at the end of this section.

The authors should present the findings in general terms.

The author may suggest a future line of research or “next steps” to improve the body of knowledge.

This section is usually no more than a few paragraphs and may be presented as part of the results and discussion, or in a separate section.

The conclusions should reflect upon the aims - whether they were achieved or not - and, just like the aims, should not be surprising. If the conclusions are not evidence-based, it's appropriate to ask for them to be re-written.

Discussion should always, at some point, gather all the information together into a single whole. Authors should describe and discuss the overall story formed. If there are gaps or inconsistencies in the story, they should address these and suggest ways future research might confirm the findings or take the research forward.

Thank you
Editor



IJDNS Editor <ijdnseditorial@gmail.com>

Sel, 13
Jun,
04.05

kepada saya, riban.satia, r.biroum.bernardianto, nurhasanah, irwani, paulus.setyoko

Dear Authors,

Please revise your paper according our reviewers Comment:

Reviewer C:

1. This paper has a potential to be accepted, but some important points have to be clarified or fixed before we can proceed and a positive action can be taken.
2. In Abstract, before the goal of the research please add a brief background of the research.
3. Please use number for citation in text, and in the list of references.
4. Please use Conclusion rather than Summary
5. The problem statements that the authors want to address at this paper are not clear.
6. The paper is well written. The Introduction and Background sections provide useful information for the readers.
7. At the introduction part, the authors did not explain the importance of this study.
8. The author should be congratulated for conducting an important study.

Reviewer D:

1. The authors should provide key points and the contribution of current study to literature and what messages are provided with the present study
2. The authors should report some of the limitations of this study in detailed
3. The reference format in this paper needs to be rechecked with the formal format of this conference.
4. The manuscript needs extensive revision for language and grammar
5. The inclusion criteria used are not mentioned clearly. The keywords used for publication search are missing.
6. The innovations of this manuscript are limited. Most of the results have already been described in some review papers
7. Some reference does not meet the format requirements of journals



Dr. H. Muhamad Yusuf, S.Sos., M.A.P <m.yusuf@umpr.ac.id>

Sab, 14
Jun,
18.40

kepada IJDNS

Thank You. We will immediately improve it according to the reviewers' notes.

best regards
M.Yusuf



IJDNS Editor <ijdnseditorial@gmail.com>

Sab, 17
Jun, 11.20

kepada saya, riban.satia, r.biroum.bernardianto, nurhasanah, irwani, paulus.setyoko

Dear Authors

Thank you for your revised paper
According to our reviewers recommendation, your paper has been accepted ,The LoA will be sent after you sent to us the payment receipt/proof of payment.

You may use the following two options to make the payment of publication fee.

1. PayPal: In this case you could make \$1800USD+4%=\$1852USD to our account: growingsscience@gmail.com
2. Bank account: Please make \$1800+45\$=\$1845USD to the following bank account

Account Holder First Name: Seyed Jafar
Account Holder Last Name: Sadjadi
Bank Name: RBC ROYAL Bank
Bank Address: 248 King St N, Waterloo, ON N2J 2Y7, Canada
Transit Number: 07702
Bank Account: 4527420
International Bank Swift Code: ROYCCAT2
Tel.: Phone: +1 519-747-8320
CC Code CC0003TRANSIT e.g. CC000307702

The address of the bank account holder is also as follows,

32 Schubert Dr Toronto Ontario, M1E 1Y7

Once you make the payment, we will keep you informed shortly.

Sincerely

Editor



Dr. H. Muhamad Yusuf, S.Sos., M.A.P <m.yusuf@umpr.ac.id>

14
Jun,
18.40

kepada IJDNS

Thank you for your information..

Best regards

M.Yusuf

\



IJDNS Editor <ijdnseditorial@gmail.com>

Min, 18
Jun, 19.15

kepada saya, riban.satia, r.biroum.bernardianto, nurhasanah, irwani, paulus.setyoko

Dear Authors,

Thank you very much, We have received your payment via paypal for 1852 USD

Your paper will be published in September 2023.

We will send your article to the editing and production stages and will inform you of further information updates.

Editorial Board

International Journal of Data and Network Science

<https://growingscience.com/ijds/ijds.html>

<https://www.scimagojr.com/journalsearch.php?q=21100970247&tip=sid&exact=no>



IJDNS Editor <ijdnseditorial@gmail.com>

Jum, 23
Jun, 18.47

kepada saya, riban.satia, r.biroum.bernardianto, nurhasanah, irwani, paulus.setyoko

Dear Muhamad

Kindly, please visit our website at

<https://www.growingscience.com/ijds/online.html>

http://www.growingscience.com/ijds/online/ijdns_2023_92.pdf

download your paper, read it very carefully and let me know if there is any problem.

Attached, please find the acceptance letter. Also, please sign the attached copyright form and email it back to me.

Sincerely
Sadjadi

2 Lampiran • Dipindai dengan Gmail



IJDNS Editor <ijdnseditorial@gmail.com>

Sab, 24
Jun, 11.19

kepada saya, riban.satia, r.biroum.bernardianto, nurhasanah, irwani, paulus.setyoko

Dear Muhamad

Affiliation has been corrected. Other changes are mostly correct. WORD software places "-" between word when there is no space.

Kindly, please visit our website at
<https://www.growingscience.com/ijds/online.html>
http://www.growingscience.com/ijds/online/ijdns_2023_92.pdf

Best
Sadjadi



Dr. H. Muhamad Yusuf, S.Sos., M.A.P <m.yusuf@umpr.ac.id>

Sab, 24
Jun, 18.40

kepada IJDNS

Thank you for your attention.
best regards
M.Yusuf



IJDNS Editor <ijdnseditorial@gmail.com>

Min, 20
Agu, 18.42

Kepada saya, riban.satia, r.biroum.bernardianto, nurhasanah, irwani, paulus.setyoko, saya

Your paper is already published
https://www.growingscience.com/ijds/Vol7/ijdns_2023_92.pdf
<https://growingscience.com/ijds/Vol7/ijdnsVol7No4.html>



Thank you so much for the great news!

Congratulations!

Thanks a lot.

BalasBalas ke semuaTeruskan